Feedback Analysis on Syllabus and its Transaction by Students (2023-2024)

Total no. of respondents - 130

Total no. of parameters - 08

Analysis:-

Feedback on syllabus and its transaction with regard to 8 different parameters were sought from the students across different semesters. Altogether, 130 students recorded their feedbacks on the parameters as follows:

1. Syllabus is relevant is contemporary higher education scenario:

   When response was sought from the students with regard to this particular parameter, only two (2) students responded in the negative, while the remaining 128 responded in the positive. The percentage of positive and negative responses was 98.47% and 1.53% respectively.

2. Allotted contact hours stated in the syllabus is sufficient to complete the coverage of syllabus as per course by the teachers:

   A total of 85 students out of 130 expressed their satisfaction regarding 45 students expressed apprehension regarding this matter, Hence, 65.39% responses were positive, while 35.61% of the responses were negative.

3. Reference materials and books are available for the topic mentioned in the syllabus:

   As many as 97 respondents provided their feedbacks positively regarding this parameter, while 33 responses provided negative responses. The positive responses accounts for 74.62% and the negative responses was 25.38%.

4. Syllabus enable you to be technologically competent for future advancement :

   A total of 104 students recorded positive responses with respect to this parameter, while 26 students recorded negative responses. The percentage of positive and negative responses was 80% and 20% respectively.

5. Syllabus would build opportunities in terms of employability such as jobs and services:

   Altogether 119 students responded positively, while a meagre no. of 11 students responded negatively regarding this parameter. This accounts for 91.54% positive responses as well as 8.46% negative responses.

6. Courses/Subjects in the syllabus inculcates human values :

   A total no. of 116 respondents out of 130 provided positive feedback on this parameter, while the remaining 14 students responded negatively. The percentage of positive responses was 89.24% and the negative responses was 10.476%.

7. Satisfied with the learning outcome of the syllabus :

   As many as 113 students provided positive responses, while 17 students gave negative responses, Hence, the percentage of positive response was 86.93% and the negative response was 13.07%

8. Syllabus needs to be reviewed :

   When asked whether the present syllabus needs to be reviewed, 96 students expressed their satisfaction, where as 34 students were apprehensive regarding this parameter. These 34 respondents invariably believed that the current syllabus needs to be reviewed. The percentage of positive response was thus 73.85% and the negative response was 26.15%.

Convenor
Feedback Cell
Degree College

Convenor

Feedback Analysis (2023-2024)
On
Syllabus and its transaction
by
Teachers
Total Respondent – 20
Total Parameter – 08

Analysis :-

Feedback was sought on syllabus and its transaction from the faculty members. Altogether 20 teachers from various disciplines provided their feedback on the syllabus with respect to 8 relevant parameters. The following responses have been received on the set parameters.

1. Syllabus is relevant in contemporary higher education scenario:

   All the respondents provided positive responses with respect to this parameter. This means, exactly 100% responses were positive. Everyone agreed that the prescinded syllabus is relevant in contemporary higher education scenario.

2. Allotted contact hours stated in the syllabus is sufficient to complete the coverage of syllabus as per course by the teacher :

   Altogether 13 teachers responded negatively, while 7 others responded positively regarding this parameter. The percentage of negative response is 65%, while 35% responses were in the negative.

3. Reference materials and books are available for the topic mentioned in the syllabus :

   When response war sought on this parameter, exactly half of the total no. of teachers provided positive response, This means 50% of the teachers responded positively and the other 50% responded negatively.

4. Syllabus enables you to be technologically competent for future advancement :

   All the teachers who provided their feedbacks, opined positively regarding were positive.

5. Syllabus would build opportunities is terms of employability such as jobs and services :

   The responses of all the teachers regarding this parameter is positive. All in all 100% responses were positive.

6. Courses/Subjects in the syllabus inculcates human values :

   Regarding this parameter too, the responses of all the teachers were positive. 100% of them believed that the present syllabus inculcates human values among the students.

7. Satisfied with the learning outcome of the syllabus :

   Altogether 20 out of 20 teachers responded positively regarding this parameter. The percentage of positive responses is therefore, exactly 100%.

8. Syllabus needs to be reviewed :

   A total of 16 teachers opined against reviewing the syllabus, which means they don't see the need for reviewing the present prescinded syllabus. The remaining 4 Teachers responded that the syllabus needs to be reviewed. The percentage of positive responses is 80% where as the negative responses is only 20%.

Convenor
Convenor
Feedback Cell
IQAC, Deomornoi Degree College

GUARDIAN FEEDBACK FORM

DEOMORNOI DEGREE COLLEGE

DEOMORNOI, DARRANG (ASSAM)- 784147

Email- deomornoidegreecollege93@gmail.com

Website- WWW.demornoidegreecollege.in

Academic Year- 2023-2024

Name of the Parent/Guardian: Jiten Deka

Name of the Student: Chayanika Deka

Semester: 2nd Semester

Please mark a tick "√" in the appropriate cell for every statement-

Excellent -5	Very Good-4	Good- 3	Averagr-2	Poor- 1

Sl.No	Statement	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Academic environment of the institution		✓			
2	Regularity of the Classes	✓				
3	Co-curricular activities			✓		
4	Discipline, moral and ethical value	✓				
5	Communication between teacher and guardian on academic matters		✓			
6	Interaction with Staff		✓			
7	Examination System		✓			
8	Learning resources, such as library, internet, computer etc.			✓		
9	Campus Atmosphere			✓		
10	Community Participation				✓	

Date: 13-06-23

Signature

Place: Deomornoi

Students feedback on Teachers
Session-2023-2024
Department of ASSAMESE
Deomornoi Degree College

Analysis:

Department of ASSAMESE, Deomornoi Degree college sought feedback from the students on the following parameters against each of the faculty members-

Parameters:

Students feedback on Teachers Session-2023-2024 Department of Assamese Deomornoi Degree College.

Analysis:

Department of Assamese , Deomornoi Degree college sought feedback from the students on the following

Parameters against each of the faculty members-

Parameters:

1. **Command over subject**: Out of 93 respondents, 47students rated excellent, very good 31, good 15 against Anita Devi, Out of 93 respondents, 49 students rated excellent, very good 34, good 10 against Dr.Moon Mani Devi, Out of 93 respondents 51 students rated excellent, 31 very good, 11 good against Pallab Baruah, Out of 93 respondents 51 students rated excellent, 29 very good, 13 good against Binoy Bhushan Sarma, out of 93 responds students rated excellent 47, very good 31, good 15 Against Prabin Kalita.

2. **Methodology and presentation**: Out of 93 respondents, 45 students rated excellent, 29 very good, 19 good against Anita Devi, Out of 93 respondents, 46 students rated excellent, 27 very good, 20 good against Dr.Moon Mani Devi, Out of 93 respondents 38 students rated excellent, 37 very good, 18 good against Pallab Baruah . Out of 93 respondents, 41 students rated excellent, 36 very good, 16 good against Binoy Bhushan sarma, out of 93 respondents students rated excellent 38, very good 33, good 22 against Prabin kalita.

3. **Communication skill**: Out of 93 respondents, 46 students rated excellent, 31 very good, 16 good against Anita Devi, Out of 93 respondents 42 students rated excellent, 29 very good, 21 good and 01 is poor against Dr. Moon Mani Devi, Out of 93 respondents, 41 students rated excellent, 31 very good, 21 good against Pallab Baruah, Out of 93 respondents, 43 students rated excellent, 30 very good, 20 is good against Binoy Bhushan sarma, out of 93 respondents students rated excellent 41, very good 30, and good 22 against Prabin kalita.

4. **Interaction with the students**: Out of 93 respondents, 41 students rated excellent, 30 very good, 21good and 01 fair Anita Devi, Out of 93 respondents, 41 students rated excellent, 31very good, 20 good against Dr. Moon Mani Devi, Out of 93 respondents, 36 students rated excellent, 35 very good, 21 good and 01 is poor against Pallab Baruah, Out of 93 respondents, 44 students rated excellent, 28 very good, 21 good , Binoy Bhushan sarma. out of 93 respondents, students rated excellent 41, very good 28,and good 24. against Prabin kalita

5. **Regularity in taking classes**: Out of 93 respondents, 39 students rated excellent, 33 very good, 20 good and 01 is poor against Anita Devi, Out of 93 respondents, 39 students rated excellent, 34 very good, 20 good against Dr. Moon Mani Devi Out of 93 respondents, 39 students rated excellent, 32 very good, 22 good against Pallab Baruah, Out of 93 respondents, 39 students rated excellent, 35 very good, 19 good against Binoy Bhushan sarma, out of 93 respondents students rated excellent 36, very good 35 and good 22 against Prabin kalita,

6. **Impartiality in evaluation**: Out of 93 respondents, 43 students rated excellent, 27 very good, 23 good and 01 is poor against Anita Devi, Out of 93 respondents, 37 students rated excellent, 34 very good, 21 good against Dr. Moon Mani Devi Out of 93 respondents, 37 students rated excellent, 32 very good, 24 good against Pallab Baruah Out of 93 respondents, 40 students rated excellent, 32 very good, 21 good against Binoy Bhushan sarma, out of 93 respondents students rated excellent 40 , very good 26, and good 27, against Prabin kalita.

7. **Completion of the course in time**: Out of 93 respondents, 46 students rated excellent, 25 very good, 22 good against Anita Devi, Out of 93 respondents, 40 students rated excellent, 32 very good, 19 good and average 02 ,against Dr Moon Mani Devi Out of 93 respondents, 42 students rated excellent, 33 very good, 18 good against pallab Baruah, Out of 93 respondents 44 students rated excellent very good 33 and good 16 against Binoy Bhushan sarma, out of 93 respondents students rated excellent 41,, very good 32 and good 20 against Prabin kalita.

8.**Accessibility out side the classroom**: Out of 93 respondents, students rated excellent 40,very good 35, good 18 against Anita Devi, Out of 93 respondents, 37 students rated excellent, 33 very good, 22 good and 01 is poor against Dr. Moon Mani Devi, Out of 93 respondents 44 students rated excellent, 24 very good,24 good and 01 is average against pallab Baruah, Out of 93 respondents 38 students rated excellent,31 very good,24 is good against Binoy Bhushan Sarma, out of 93 responds students rated 39 excellent , 33 very good , good 21 against prabin kalita

9.**Personality of the Teacher**: Out of 93 respondents, students rated excellent 39, very good 31, good 23 against Anita Devi, Out of 93 respondents, 42 students rated excellent, 28 very good, 22good and poor 01against Dr.Moon Mani Devi. Out of 93 respondents 37 students rated excellent, 32 very good, 23 good and 01 is average against pallab Baruah, Out of 93 respondents 40 students rated excellent, 29 very good, 23 good and 01 is average against Binoy Bhushan Sarma, out of 93 responds students rated excellent 40, very good 30, good 23 against prabin kalita.

10. **Prepration for taking classes**: Out of 93 respondents, students rated excellent, 45, very good, 27, good 21 against Anita Devi, Out of 93 respondents students rated excellent 41, very good 33, good 18 against Dr.Moon Mani Devi, Out of 93 respondents 40 students rated excellent, 29 very good, 23 good and average 01 against pallab Baruah, Out of 93 respondents 42 students rated excellent, 32 very good, 18 good and 01 is average against Binoy Bhushan Sarma, out of 93 responds students rated excellent 38 ,very good 36, good 19 against prabin Kalita.

31-08-2024

HoD
Department of Assamese
Deomornoi Degree College

Head of the Deptt.
ASSAMESE
Deomornoi Degree College

Convenor
Feedback cell, IQAC,
Deomornoi Degree College

Convenor
Feedback Cell
IQAC, Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator
IQAC,
Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator, IQAC
Deomornoi Degree College

Date .....

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Feedback of Students about Teachers

Session: 2023-2024

Department of Assamese

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
1. **Command over subject**	Anita Devi	Assamese	47	31	15		
	Dr.MoonMani Devi	Assamese	49	34	10		
	Pallab Baruah	Assamese	51	31	11		
	Binoy Bhushan sarma	Assamese	51	29	13		
	Prabin kalita	Assamese	47	31	15		

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
2. Methodology and presentation	Anita Devi	Assamese	45	29	19		
	Dr.MoonMani Devi	Assamese	46	27	20		
	Pallab Baruah	Assamese	38	37	18		
	Binoy Bhushan sarma	Assamese	41	36	16		
	Prabin kalita	Assamese	38	33	22		

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
3. Communicati on skill	Anita Devi	Assamese	46	31	16		
	Dr..MoonMani Devi	Assamese	42	29	21		
	Pallab Baruah	Assamese	41	31	21		
	Binoy Bhushan sarma	Assamese	43	30	20		
	Prabin kalita	Assamese	41	30	22		

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
4. 0Interaction the with students	Anita Devi	Assamese	41	30	21	01	
	Dr.MoonMani Devi	Assamese	41	31	20		
	Pallab Baruah	Assamese	36	35	21		
	Binoy Bhushan sarma	Assamese	49	28	21		
	Prabin kalita	Assamese	41	28	24		

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
5. Regularity in taking classes	Anita Devi	Assamese	39	33	20		01
	Dr.MoonMani Devi	Assamese	39	34	20		
	Pallab Baruah	Assamese	39	32	22		
	Binoy Bhushan sarma	Assamese	39	35	19		
	Prabin kalita	Assamese	36	35	22		

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
6. Impartiality in evaluation	Anita Devi	Assamese	43	27	23		01
	Dr.MoonMani Devi	Assamese	37	34	21	01	
	Pallab Baruah	Assamese	37	32	24		
	Binoy Bhushan sarma	Assamese	40	32	21		
	Prabin kalita	Assamese	40	26	27		

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
7. Completion of the course in time	Anita Devi	Assamese	46	25	22		
	Dr.MoonMani Devi	Assamese	40	32	19	01	
	Pallab Baruah	Assamese	42	33	18		
	Binoy Bhushan sarma	Assamese	44	33	16		
	Prabin kalita	Assamese	41	32	20		

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
8. Accessibility the outside classroom	Anita Devi	Assamese	40	35	18		
	Dr.MoonMani Devi	Assamese	37	33	22		01
	Pallab Baruah	Assamese	44	24	24	01	
	Binoy Bhushan sarma	Assamese	38	31	24		
	Prabin kalita	Assamese	39	33	21		

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
9. Personality of the teacher	Anita Devi	Assamese	39	31	23		
	Dr.MoonMani Devi	Assamese	42	28	22		01
	Pallab Baruah	Assamese	37	32	23		
	Binoy Bhushan sarma	Assamese	40	29	23	01	
	Prabin kalita	Assamese	40	30	23		

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
10.Preparation for taking classes	Anita Devi	Assamese	45	27	21		
	Dr.MoonMani Devi	Assamese	41	33	18	01	
	Pallab Baruah	Assamese	43	28	21	01	
	Binoy Bhushan sarma	Assamese	42	32	18	01	
	Prabin kalita	Assamese	38	36	19		

31-08-2024

HoD
Department of Assamese
Deomornoi Degree College

Convenor
Feedback cell, IQAC,
Deomornoi Degree College

Feedback Cell
IQAC, Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator
IQAC,
Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator
Deomornoi Degree College
Date .....

Graphics presentation of parameters against Teacher :

1.



2.



3.



4.


60
50
40
30
20
10
0
Excellent
Very good
Good
Average
Poor
Anita Devi Assamese
Dr.MoonMani Devi Assamese
Pallab Baruah Assamese
Binoy Bhushan sarma Assamese
Prabin kalita Assamese

5.


45
40
35
30
25
20
15
10
5
0
Excellent
Very good
Good
Average
Poor
Anita Devi Assamese
Dr.MoonMani Devi Assamese
Pallab Baruah Assamese
Binoy Bhushan sarma Assamese
Prabin kalita Assamese

6.


50
45
40
35
30
25
20
15
10
5
0
Excellent
Very good
Good
Average
Poor
Anita Devi Assamese
Dr.MoonMani Devi Assamese
Pallab Baruah Assamese
Binoy Bhushan sarma Assamese
Prabin kalita Assamese

7


50
45
40
35
30
25
20
15
10
5
0
Excellent
Very good
Good
Average
Poor
Anita Devi Assamese
Dr.MoonMani Devi Assamese
Pallab Baruah Assamese
Binoy Bhushan sarma Assamese
Prabin kalita Assamese

8.


50
45
40
35
30
25
20
15
10
5
0
Excellent
Very good
Good
Average
Poor
Anita Devi Assamese
Dr.MoonMani Devi Assamese
Pallab Baruah Assamese
Binoy Bhushan sarma Assamese
Prabin kalita Assamese

9.



10.



Convenor
Feedback Cell
IQAC, Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator, IQAC
Deomornoi Degree College
Date .....

Student Feedback on Teachers
Session-2023-2024
Department of Education
Deomornoi Degree College

Analysis:

Department of Education, Deomornoi Degree College sought feedback from the students on the following parameters against each of the faculty members-

Parameters:

1. Command over subject: Out of 21 respondents, 9 students rated excellent, 6 very good, 6 good against **Hiranmoyee Medhi**. Out of 21 respondents, 8 students rated excellent, 9 very good, 4 good against **Abani Saharia**. Out of 21 respondents, 9students rated excellent, 8 very good, 4 good against **Dr. Nripendra Deka** Out of 21 respondents, 9 students rated excellent, 7 very good, 5 good against **Dr. Karim.**
2. Methodology and Presentation of the Teacher: Out of 21 respondents, 7 students rated excellent, 7 very good, 7 good against **Hiranmoyee Medhi**. Out of 21 respondents, 3 students rated excellent, 10 very good, 8 good against **Abani Saharia**. Out of 21 respondents, 6 students rated excellent, 8 very good, 7 good against **Dr. Nripendra Deka** Out of 21 respondents, 9 students rated excellent, 2 very good, 10 good against **Dr. Fajlul Karim**.
3. Communication skill of the Teacher: Out of 21 respondents, 8 students rated excellent, 5 very good, 8 good against **Hiranmoyee Medhi**. Out of 21 respondents, 7 students rated excellent, 7 very good, 7 good against **Abani Saharia**. Out of 21 respondents, 6 students rated excellent, 6 very good, 9 good against **Dr. Nripendra Deka** Out of 21 respondents, 8 students rated excellent, 5 very good, 7 good, and 1 student rated average against **Dr. Fajlul Karim.**
4. Interaction with Students of the Teacher: Out of 21 respondents, 5 students rated excellent, 9 very good, 7 good against **Hiranmoyee Medhi**. Out of 21 respondents, 6 students rated excellent, 10 very good, 5 good against **Abani Saharia**. Out of 21 respondents, 7 students rated excellent, 8 very good, 6 good against **Dr. Nripendra Deka** Out of 21 respondents, 7 students rated excellent, 8 very good, 6 good against **Dr. Fajlul Karim.**
5. Regularity in Taking Classes of the Teacher: Out of 21 respondents, 5 students rated excellent, 6 very good, 10 good against **Hiranmoyee Medhi**. Out of 21 respondents, 10 students rated excellent, 6 very good, 5 good against **Abani Saharia**. Out of 21 respondents, 8 students rated excellent, 4 very good, 9 good against **Dr. Nripendra Deka** Out of 21 respondents, 7 students rated excellent, 5 very good, 9 good against **Dr. Fajlul Karim.**

6. Impartiality in evaluation of the Teacher: Out of 21 respondents, 5 students rated excellent, 7 very good, 9 good against **Hiranmoyee Medhi**. Out of 21 respondents, 6 students rated excellent, 8 very good, 7 good against **Abani Saharia**. Out of 21 respondents, 7 students rated excellent, 7 very good, 6 good,and 1 student rated average against **Dr. Nripendra Deka** Out of 21 respondents, 5 students rated excellent, 6 very good, 9 good, and 1 student rated average against **Dr. Fajlul Karim.**
7. Completion of the course in time by the Teacher: Out of 21 respondents, 9 students rated excellent, 5 very good, 7 good against **Hiranmoyee Medhi**. Out of 21 respondents, 9 students rated excellent, 7 very good, 5 good against **Abani Saharia**. Out of 21 respondents, 4 students rated excellent, 11 very good, 6 good against **Dr. Nripendra Deka** Out of 21 respondents, 9 students rated excellent, 6 very good, 6 good against **Dr. Fajlul Karim**.
8. Accessibility of the Teacher outside the classroom: Out of 21 respondents, 4 students rated excellent, 7 very good, 10 good against **Hiranmoyee Medhi**. Out of 21 respondents, 4 students rated excellent, 11 very good, 6 good against **Abani Saharia**. Out of 21 respondents, 5 students rated excellent, 7 very good, 8 good, and 1 student rated average against **Dr. Nripendra Deka** Out of 21 respondents, 5 students rated excellent, 5 very good, 11 good against **Dr. Fajlul Karim.**
9. Personality of the Teacher: Out of 21 respondents, 3 students rated excellent, 9 very good, 9 good against **Hiranmoyee Medhi**. Out of 21 respondents, 8 students rated excellent, 5 very good, 8 good against **Abani Saharia.** Out of 21 respondents, 7 students rated excellent, 8 very good, 6 good against **Dr. Nripendra Deka** Out of 21 respondents, 10 students rated excellent, 4 very good, 7 good against **Dr. Fajlul Karim.**
10. Preparation fortaking Classes of the Teacher: Out of 21 respondents, 7 students rated excellent, 7 very good, 7 good against **Hiranmoyee Medhi**. Out of 21 respondents, 8 students rated excellent, 6 very good, 7 good against **Abani Saharia**. Out of 21 respondents, 8 students rated excellent, 5 very good, 8 good against **Dr. Nripendra Deka** Out of 21 respondents, 6 students rated excellent, 7 very good, 8 good against **Dr. Fajlul Karim.**

Hiranmoyee Medhi 22.02.2024

HoD
Department of Education
Deomornoi Degree College

H.O.D.
EDUCATION DEPTT.
DEOMORNOI DEGREE COLLEGE

Convenor
Feedback Cell, IQAC,
Deomornoi Degree College

Convenor
Feedback Cell
IQAC, Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator
IQAC
Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator, IQAC
Deomornoi Degree College
Date .....

Summary of Findings
Feedback of Students about Teachers
Session: 2023-24
Department of Education
Deomornoi Degree College

Parameter	Name of Teachers	Excellent	Very good	Good
1. Command over subject	Hiranmoyee Medhi	9	6	6
	Abani Saharia	8	9	4
	Dr. Nripendra Deka	9	8	4
	Dr.Fajlul Karim	9	7	5

Parameter	Name of Teachers	Excellent	Very good	Good
2. Methodology and Presentation of the	Hiranmoyee Medhi	7	7	7
	Abani Saharia	3	10	8
	Dr. Nripendra Deka	6	8	7
	Dr.Fajlul Karim	9	2	10

Parameter	Name of Teachers	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average
3.Communication skill of the Teacher	Hiranmoyee Medhi	8	5	8	0
	Abani Saharia	7	7	7	0
	Dr. Nripendra Deka	6	6	9	0
	Dr.Fajlul Karim	8	5	7	1

Parameter	Name of Teachers	Excellent	Very good	Good
4.Interaction with Students of the Teacher	Hiranmoyee Medhi	5	9	7
	Abani Saharia	6	10	5
	Dr. Nripendra Deka	7	8	6
	Dr.Fajlul Karim	7	88	6

Parameter	Name of Teachers	Excellent	Very good	Good
5. Regularity in Taking Classes of the Teacher	Hiranmoyee Medhi	5	6	10
	Abani Saharia	10	6	5
	Dr. Nripendra Deka	8	4	9
	Dr.Fajlul Karim	7	5	9

Parameter	Name of Teachers	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average
6. Impartiality in evaluation of the Teacher	Hiranmoyee Medhi	5	7	9	
	Abani Saharia	6	8	7	
	Dr. Nripendra Deka	7	7	6	1
	Dr.Fajlul Karim	5	6	9	1

Parameter	Name of Teachers	Excellent	Very good	Good
7. Completion of the course in time by the Teacher	Hiranmoyee Medhi	9	5	7
	Abani Saharia	9	7	5
	Dr. Nripendra Deka	4	11	6
	Dr.Fajlul Karim	9	6	6

Parameter	Name of Teachers	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average
8. Accessibility of the Teacher outside the classroom	Hiranmoyee Medhi	4	7	10	
	Abani Saharia	4	11	6	
	Dr. Nripendra Deka	5	7	8	1
	Dr.Fajlul Karim	5	5	11	

Parameter	Name of Teachers	Excellent	Very good	Good
9. Personality of the Teacher	Hiranmoyee Medhi	3	9	9
	Abani Saharia	8	5	8
	Dr. Nripendra Deka	7	7	7
	Dr.Fajlul Karim	10	4	7

Parameter	Name of Teachers	Excellent	Very good	Good
10. Preparation for taking Classes of the Teacher	Hiranmoyee Medhi	7	7	7
	Abani Saharia	8	6	7
	Dr. Nripendra Deka	8	5	8
	Dr.Fajlul Karim	6	7	8

Graphic Presentation of Parameters against Teachers

1. Command over subject:



2. Methodology and Presentation of the Teacher:



3. Communication skill of the Teacher:



4. Interaction with Students of the Teacher:



5. Regularity in Taking Classes of the Teacher:



6. Impartiality in evaluation of the Teacher:



7. Completion of the course in time by the Teacher:



8. Accessibility of the Teacher outside the classroom:



9. Personality of the Teacher:



10. Preparation for taking Classes of the Teacher:



Hiranmoyee Medhi 22.08.2024

HoD

Department of Education

Deomornoi Degree College

H.O.D.
EDUCATION DEPTT.
DEOMORNOI DEGREE COLLEGE

Convenor

Feedback Cell, IQAC,

Deomornoi Degree College

Convenor
Feedback Cell
IQAC, Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator

IQAC,

Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator, IQAC
Deomornoi Degree College
Date .....

Students Feedback on Teachers
Session 2023-24
Department of Geography
Deomornoi Degree College

Analysis: Department of Geography, Deomornoi Degree College sought out feedback from the students on the following parameters against each of the faculty members.

Parameters:

1. **Command over the subject:** Out of 21 respondents, 10 students rated excellent, 8 students rated very good and 3 students rated good against the **Arup Kumar Sarma.** Out of 21 respondents, 14 students rated excellent, 5 students rated very good and 2 students rated good against the **Brindaban Sarma.**
2. **Methodology and Presentation of the Teachers:** Out of the 21 respondents, 13 students rated excellent, 4 students rated very good and 4 students rated good against the **Arup Kumar Sarma.** Out of 21 respondents, 7 students rated excellent, 10 students rated very good, 3 students rated good and 1 student rated as average against the **Brindaban Sarma.**
3. **Communication Skill of the Teachers:** Out of the 21 respondents, 9 students rated excellent, 9 students rated very good, 2 students rated and 1 student rated as average against the **Arup Kumar Sarma.** Out of 21 respondents, 13 students rated excellent, 6 students rated very good, 1 student rated good and 1 student rated as average to the **Brindaban Sarma.**
4. **Interaction with the students:** Out of the 21 respondents, 7 students rated excellent, 9 students rated as very good, 4 students rated as good to the **Arup Kumar Sarma.** Out of 21 respondents 11 students rated excellent, 6 students rated very good and 4 students rated as good to the **Brindaban Sarma.**
5. **Regularity in taking classes of the Teachers:** Out of the 21 students, 11 students rated excellent, 5 students rated as very good , 4 students rated as good and 1 student rated as average to the **Arup Kumar Sarma.** Out of the 21 students, 10 students rated as excellent, 3 students rated very good, 6 students rated as good and 1 student rated as average to the **Brindaban Sarma.**

6. **Impartiality in the evaluation of the Teachers:** Out of the 21 respondents, 8 students rated excellent, 5 students rated very good, 7 students rated good and 1 students rated as average to the **Arup Kumar Sarma.** Out of the 21 respondents, 8 students rated excellent, 9 students rated very good, 3 students rated good and 1 student rated as average to the **Brindaban Sarma.**
7. **Completion of the course in the time:** Out of the 21 respondents, 12 students rated excellent, 4 students rated very good, 5 students rated good to the **Arup Kumar Sarma.** Out of the 21 respondents, 8 students rated excellent, 8 students rated very good, 5 students rated as good to the **Brindaban Sarma.**
8. **Accessibility of the Teacher outside the classroom:** Out of the 21 respondents, 7 students rated excellent, 8 students rated very good, 5 students rated good, 1 student rated as average to the **Arup Kumar Sarma.** Out of the 21 respondents, 11 students rated excellent, 5 students rated very good, 3 students rated as good, 1 student rated as average to the **Brindaban Sarma.**
9. **Personality of the Teacher:** Out of the 21 respondents, 6 students rated excellent, 7 students rated very good, 8 students rated good to the **Arup Kumar Sarma.** Out of the 21 respondents, 12 students rated excellent, 4 students rated very good, 3 students rated as good, 1 student rated as average to the **Brindaban Sarma.**
10. **Preparation for taking Classes of the Teachers:** Out of the 21 respondents, 11students rated excellent, 5 students rated very good, 5 students rated good to the **Arup Kumar Sarma.** Out of the 21 respondents, 9 students rated excellent, 8 students rated very good, 4 students rated as good to the **Brindaban Sarma.**

HoD
Department of Geography
Deomornoi Degree College

Head of the Deptt.
GEOGRAPHY
Deomornoi Degree College

Convenor
Feedback Cell,IQAC
Deomornoi Degree College

Convenor
Feedback Cell
IQAC, Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator
IQAC
Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator, IQAC
Deomornoi Degree College
Date .....

Summary of Findings
Feedback of Students about Teachers
Session: 2023-24
Department of Geography
Deomornoi Degree College

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Excellent	Very Good	Good
1. Command over the Subject.	Arup Kumar Sarma	10	8	3
	Brindaban Sarma	14	5	2

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average
2.Methodology and Presentation.	Arup Kumar Sarma	13	4	4	0
	Brindaban Sarma	7	10	3	1

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average
3.Communication Skill.	Arup Kumar Sarma	9	9	2	1
	Brindaban Sarma	13	6	1	1

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average
4. Interaction with the students.	Arup Kumar Sarma	7	9	4	0
	Brindaban Sarma	11	6	4	0

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average
5.Regularity in Taking Classes.	Arup Kumar Sarma	11	5	4	1
	Brindaban Sarma	10	3	6	1

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average
6.Impartiality in Evaluation.	Arup Kumar Sarma	8	5	7	1
	Brindaban Sarma	8	9	3	1

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average
7.Completion of the Course in time.	Arup Kumar Sarma	12	4	5	0
	Brindaban Sarma	8	8	5	0

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average
8.Accessibility of the teacher outside the classroom.	Arup Kumar Sarma	7	8	5	1
	Brindaban Sarma	11	5	3	1

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average
9.Personality of the Teacher	Arup Kumar Sarma	6	7	8	0
	Brindaban Sarma	12	4	3	1

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average
10. Preparation for taking Classes of the Teacher.	Arup Kumar Sarma	11	5	5	0
	Brindaban Sarma	9	8	4	0

HoD
Department of Geography
Deomornoi Degree College

Head of the Deptt.
GEOGRAPHY
Deomornoi Degree College

Convenor
Feedback Cell,IQAC
Deomornoi Degree College

Convenor
Feedback Cell
IQAC, Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator
IQAC
Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator, IQAC
Deomornoi Degree College
Date .....

GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF PARAMETERS AGAINST THE TEACHERS





GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF PARAMETERS AGAINST THE TEACHERS





GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF PARAMETERS AGAINST THE TEACHERS





GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF PARAMETERS AGAINST THE TEACHERS





GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF PARAMETERS AGAINST THE TEACHERS





HoD
Department of Geography
Deomornoi Degree College

Head of the Deptt.
GEOGRAPHY
Deomornoi Degree College

Convenor
Feedback Cell,IQAC
Deomornoi Degree College

Convenor
Feedback Cell
IQAC, Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator
IQAC
Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator, IQAC
Deomornoi Degree College
Date .....

Students feedback on Teachers

Session-2023-2024

Department of Philosophy

Deomornoi Degree College

Analysis:

Department of philosophy, Deomornoi Degree college sought feedback from the students on the following parameters against each of the faculty members-

Parameters:

1. **Command over subject**: Out of 27 respondents, 12 students rated excellent, 14 very good, 01 good against **Manoj Kumar Sarma**, out of 27 respondents, 12 students rated excellent, 12 very good, 3 good against **Ajit Kumar Sarma**, out of 27 respondents, 13 students rated excellent, 11 very good, 3 good against **Dipika Devi**, out of 27 respondents, 14 students rated excellent, 11 very good, 2 good against **Nilima Baruah.**
2. Methodology and presentation: Out of 27 respondents, 10 students rated excellent, 16 very good, 1 good against **Manoj Kumar Sarma**, out of 27 respondents, 11 students rated excellent, 12 very good, 4 good while 1Rated poor against **Ajit Kumar Sarma**, out of 27 respondents, 11 students rated excellent, 10 very good, 6 good against **Dipika Devi**, out of 27 respondents, 0 students rated excellent, 06 very good, 20 good against **Nilima Baruah**
3. Communication skill: Out of 27 respondents, 15 students rated excellent, 04 very good,7 good against **Manoj Kumar Sarma**, out of 27 respondents, 10 students rated excellent, 11 very good, 6 good against **Ajit Kumar Sarma**, out of 27 respondents, 9 students rated excellent, 13 very good, 5 good **Dipika Devi**, out of 27 respondents, 13 students rated excellent, 08 very good,6 good against **Nilima Baruah.**
4. Interaction with the students: Out of 27 respondents, 13 students rated excellent, 11 very good, 3 good against **Manoj Kumar Sarma**, out of 27 respondents, 10 students rated excellent, 12 very good, 5 good against **Ajit Kumar Sarma**, out of 27 respondents, 13 students rated excellent, 11 very good, 3 good against **Dipika Devi**, out of 27 respondents, 11 students rated excellent, 13 very good, 3 good against **Nilima Baruah.**
5. Regularity in taking classes: Out of 27 respondents, 15 students rated excellent, 05 very good, 07 good against **Manoj Kumar Sarma**, out of 27 respondents, 10 students rated excellent, 13 very good, 4 good against **Ajit Kumar Sarma**, out of 27 respondents, 13 students rated excellent, 09 very good, 5 good against **Dipika Devi**, out of 27 respondents, 11 students rated excellent, 11 very good, 25 good against **Nilima Baruah.**
6. Impartiality in evaluation: Out of 27 respondents, 14 students rated excellent, 06 very good, 20 good while 1Rated poor against **Manoj Kumar Sarma**, out of 27 respondents, 14 students rated excellent, 11 very good, 2 good against **Ajit Kumar Sarma**, out of 27 respondents, 11 students rated excellent, 12 very good, 04 good against **Dipika Devi**, out of 27 respondents, 10 students rated excellent, 11 very good, 6 good against **Nilima Baruah.**
7. Completion of the course in time: Out of 27 respondents, 14 students rated excellent, 09 very good, 4 good against **Manoj Kumar Sarma**, out of 27 respondents,11 students rated excellent, 12 very good, 04 good against **Ajit Kumar Sarma**, out of 27 respondents, 11 students rated excellent, 11 very good, 5 good against **Dipika Devi**, out of 27 respondents, 14 students rated excellent, 11 very good, 2 good against **Nilima Baruah.**
8. Accessibility outside the classroom: Out of 27 respondents, 11 students rated excellent, 12 very good,4 good against **Manoj Kumar Sarma**, out of 27 respondents, 9 students rated excellent, 12 very good, 4 good against **Ajit Kumar Sarma**, out of 27 respondents, 12 students rated excellent,

11 very good, 4 good against **Dipika Devi**, out of 27 respondents, 10 students rated excellent, 12 very good, 5 good against **Nilima Baruah.**

9. Personality of the teacher: Out of 27 respondents, 13 students rated excellent, 11 very good, 3 good against **Manoj Kumar Sarma**, out of 27 respondents, 8 students rated excellent, 16 very good, 3 good against **Ajit Kumar Sarma**, out of 27 respondents, stud 9 ents rated excellent, 14 very good, 4 good against **Dipika Devi**, out of 27 respondents, 12 students rated excellent, 11 very good, 4 good against **Nilima Baruah.**
10. Preparation for taking classes Out of 27 respondents, 17 students rated excellent, 09 very good, 1 good against **Manoj Kumar Sarma**, out of 27 respondents, 14 students rated excellent, 10 very good, 3 good against **Ajit Kumar Sarma**, out of 27 respondents, 14 students rated excellent, 10 very good, 3 good against **Dipika Devi**, out of 27 respondents, 15 students rated excellent, 08 very good, 4 good against **Nilima Baruah.**

Dr Manoj Kr. Sarma

HoD
Department of Philosophy
Deomornoi Degree College

Head of the Dept.
Philosophy
Deomornoi Degree College

Convenor
Feedback cell, IQAC,
Deomornoi Degree College

Convenor
Feedback Cell
IQAC, Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator
IQAC,
Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator, IQAC
Deomornoi Degree College
Date .....

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Feedback of Students on Teachers

***Session:* 2023-2024**

Department of Philosophy

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
1. Command over subject	Manoj Kumar Sarma	Philosophy	12	14	01	0	0
	Dipika Devi	Philosophy	13	11	3	0	0
	Ajit Kumar Sarma	Philosophy	12	12	3	0	0
	Nilima Baruah	Philosophy	14	11	2	0	0

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
2. Methodology and presentation	Manoj Kumar Sarma	Philosophy	10	16	1	0	0
	Dipika Devi	Philosophy	13	8	6	0	0
	Ajit Kumar Sarma	Philosophy	11	12	4	0	0
	Nilima Baruah	Philosophy	11	10	6	0	0

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
3. Communication skill	Manoj Kumar Sarma	Philosophy	15	4	7	0	0
	Dipika Devi	Philosophy	9	13	5	0	0
	Ajit Kumar Sarma	Philosophy	10	11	6	0	0
	Nilima Baruah	Philosophy	13	8	6	0	0

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
4. Interaction with the students	Manoj Kumar Sarma	Philosophy	13	11	3	0	0
	Dipika Devi	Philosophy	13	11	3	0	0
	Ajit Kumar Sarma	Philosophy	10	12	5	0	0
	Nilima Baruah	Philosophy	11	13	3	0	0

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
5. Regularity in taking classes	Manoj Kumar Sarma	Philosophy	15	5	7	0	0
	Dipika Devi	Philosophy	13	9	5	0	0
	Ajit Kumar Sarma	Philosophy	10	13	4	0	0
	Nilima Baruah	Philosophy	11	11	5	0	0

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
6. Impartiality in evaluation	Manoj Kumar Sarma	Philosophy	14	11	2	0	0
	Dipika Devi	Philosophy	11	12	4	0	0
	Ajit Kumar Sarma	Philosophy	11	11	5	0	0
	Nilima Baruah	Philosophy	10	11	6	0	0

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
7. Completion of the course in time	Manoj Kumar Sarma	Philosophy	14	9	4	0	0
	Dipika Devi	Philosophy	11	11	5	0	0
	Ajit Kumar Sarma	Philosophy	11	12	4	0	0
	Nilima Baruah	Philosophy	14	11	2	0	0

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
8. Accessibility outside the classroom	Manoj Kumar Sarma	Philosophy	11	12	4	0	0
	Dipika Devi	Philosophy	12	11	4	0	0
	Ajit Kumar Sarma	Philosophy	9	12	4	0	0
	Nilima Baruah	Philosophy	10	12	5	0	0

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
9. Personality of the teacher	Manoj Kumar Sarma	Philosophy	13	11	3	0	0
	Dipika Devi	Philosophy	9	14	4	0	0
	Ajit Kumar Sarma	Philosophy	8	16	3	0	0
	Nilima Baruah	Philosophy	12	11	4	0	0

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
10.Preparation for taking classes	Manoj Kumar Sarma	Philosophy	17	9	1	0	0
	Dipika Devi	Philosophy	14	10	3	0	0
	Ajit Kumar Sarma	Philosophy	14	10	3	0	0
	Nilima Baruah	Philosophy	15	8	4	0	0

Dr. Manoj Kr. Sarma

HoD
Department of Philosophy
Deomornoi Degree College

Head of the Deptt.
PHILOSOPHY
Deomornoi Degree College

Convenor
Feedback cell, IQAC,
Deomornoi Degree College

Convenor
Feedback Cell
IQAC, Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator
IQAC,
Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator, IQAC
Deomornoi Degree College

Date .....


Interaction with the students
15
10
5
0
Excellent
Very good
Good
Average
Poor
1. Interaction with the students Manoj Kumar Sarma Philosophy
1. Interaction with the students Dipika Devi Philosophy
1. Interaction with the students Ajit Kumar Sarma Philosophy
1. Interaction with the students Nilima Baruah Philosophy


. Regularity in taking classes
20
15
10
5
0
Excellent
Very good
Good
Average
Poor
1. Regularity in taking classes Manoj Kumar Sarma Philosophy
1. Regularity in taking classes Dipika Devi Philosophy
1. Regularity in taking classes Ajit Kumar Sarma Philosophy
1. Regularity in taking classes Nilima Baruah Philosophy


Impartiality in evaluation
15
10
5
0
Excellent
Very good
Good
Average
Poor
1. Impartiality in evaluation Manoj Kumar Sarma Philosophy
1. Impartiality in evaluation Dipika Devi Philosophy
1. Impartiality in evaluation Ajit Kumar Sarma Philosophy
1. Impartiality in evaluation Nilima Baruah Philosophy

Graphic Presentation of Parameters
Feedback of Students on Teacher






Completion of the course in time
15
10
5
0
Excellent
Very good
Good
Average
Poor
1. Completion of the course in time Manoj Kumar Sarma Philosophy
1. Completion of the course in time Dipika Devi Philosophy
1. Completion of the course in time Ajit Kumar Sarma Philosophy
1. Completion of the course in time Nilima Baruah Philosophy


Accessibility outside the classroom
15
10
5
0
Excellent
Very good
Good
Average
Poor
1. Accessibility outside the classroom Manoj Kumar Sarma Philosophy
1. Accessibility outside the classroom Dipika Devi Philosophy
1. Accessibility outside the classroom Ajit Kumar Sarma Philosophy
1. Accessibility outside the classroom Nilima Baruah Philosophy


Personality of the teacher
20
15
10
5
0
Excellent
Very good
Good
Average
Poor
1. Personality of the teacher Manoj Kumar Sarma Philosophy
1. Personality of the teacher Dipika Devi Philosophy
1. Personality of the teacher Ajit Kumar Sarma Philosophy
1. Personality of the teacher Nilima Baruah Philosophy



Dr. Manoj Kr. Sarma

HoD
Department of Philosophy
Deomornoi Degree College

Head of the Deptt.
PHILOSOPHY
Deomornoi Degree College

Convenor
Feedback cell, IQAC,
Deomornoi Degree College

Convenor
Feedback Cell
IQAC, Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator
IQAC,
Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator, IQAC
Deomornoi Degree College,
Date .....

Students feedback on Teachers
Session-2023-2024
Department of political Science
Deomornoi Degree College

Analysis:

Department of Political Science, Deomornoi Degree college sought feedback from the students on the following parameters against each of the faculty members

:

1. **Command over subject**: Out of 18 respondents, 10 students rated excellent, 06 very good, 02 good against **Makibul Hussain**. Out of 18 respondents, 10 students rated excellent, 06 very good, 2 good against **Dr. Mousumi Deka** Out of 18 respondents, 8 students rated excellent, 7 very good, 3 good while 1Rated poor against **Mainul Haque**. No student rated average or poor against the three faculties in this regard. Out of 18 respondents, 9 students rated excellent, 5 very good, 2 good while 1Rated poor against **Diganta Sarma.**

2. Methodology and presentation: Out of 18 respondents, 5 students rated excellent, 11 very good and 2 good against **Makibul Hussain**, out of 18 respondents, 5students rated excellent, 11 very good, 2 good against **Dr Mousumi Deka** Out of 7 respondents rated excellent, 8 very good, 3 good against **Mainul Haque** Out of 18respondents, 7 students rated excellent, 6 very good, 3 good while 2Rated poor against **Diganta Sarma.**

3. Communication skill: Out of 18 respondents, 8 students rated excellent, 6 very good, 4 good against Makibul **Hussain** Out of 18 respondents, 8 students rated excellent, 6very good, 4 good against **Dr Mousumi Deka**, out of 18 respondents, 8 students rated excellent, 07very good, 3 good against **Mainul Haque**, out of 18 respondents, 5 students rated excellent, 8 very good, 3 good while 2Rated poor against **Diganta Sarma**

4. Interaction with the students: Out of 18 respondents, 10 students rated excellent, 3 very good, 5 good against **Makibul Hussain**, out of 18 respondents, 10 students rated excellent, 3very good, 5 good against **Dr Mousumi Deka**, out of 18 respondents, 7 students rated excellent, 7very good, 4 good against **Mainul Haque**, out of 18 respondents, 5 students rated excellent, 6 very good, 5 good while 2 Rated poor against **Diganta Sarma**

5. Regularity in taking classes: Out of 18 respondents, 6 students rated excellent, 9 very good, 3 good against **Makibul Hussain**, out of 18 respondents, 6 students rated excellent, 9 very good, 3 good against **Dr Mousumi Deka**, out of 18 respondents, 3 students rated excellent, 11 very good, 4 good against **Mainul Haque**, out of 18 respondents, 4 students rated excellent, 6 very good, 6 good while 2Rated poor against **Diganta Sarma**

6. Impartiality in evaluation: Out of 18 respondents, 8 students rated excellent, 6 very good, 4 good against **Makibul Hussain**, out of 18 respondents, 7 students rated excellent, 5 very good, 4good while 2Rated average against **Dr Mousumi Deka** Out of 18 respondents, 6 students rated excellent, 6 very good, 6 good against **Mainul Haque** Out of 18 respondents, 7 students rated excellent, 5 very good, 4 good while 2 Rated poor against **Diganta Sarma**

7. Completion of the course in time: Out of 18 respondents, 8 students rated excellent, 7 very good, 3 good against **Makibul Hussain**, out of 18 respondents, 8 students rated excellent, 7very good, 3 good against **Dr Mousumi Deka**, out of 18 respondents, 8 students rated excellent, 5 very good, 5 good against **Mainul Haque** Out of 18 respondents, 5 students rated excellent, 8 very good, 3 good while 2 Rated poor against **Diganta Sarma**

8. Accessibility outside the classroom: Out of 18 respondents, 10 students rated excellent, 06 very good, 2 good against **Makibul Hussain** Out of 18 respondents, 10 students rated excellent, 6 very good, 2 against **Dr Mousumi Deka**, out of 18 respondents, 8 students rated excellent, 8 very good, 2 good against **Mainul Haque**, out of 18 respondents, 5 students rated excellent, 5 very good, 6 good while 1Rated average and 1poor against **Diganta Sarma**

9. Personality of the teacher: Out of 18 respondents, 9 students rated excellent, 07 very good, 2 good against **Makibul Hussain**, out of 18 respondents, 9 students rated excellent, 7very good, 2 good against **Dr Mousumi Deka**, out of 18 respondents, 9students rated excellent, 7 very good, 2 good against **Mainul Haque**, out of 18 respondents, 4students rated excellent, 8 very good, 6 good against **Diganta Sarma**

10. Preparation for taking classes: Out of 18 respondents, 6 students rated excellent, 8 very good, 4good against **Makibul Hussain**, out of 18 respondents, 06 students rated excellent, 8 very good, 4 good against **Dr Mousumi Deka**, out of 18 respondents, 8 students rated excellent, 4 very good, 6 good against **Mainul Haque** Out of 18 respondents, 05students rated excellent, 5 very good, 6 good while 1Rated average and 1poor against **Diganta Sarma**

HoD
Department of Political Science
Deomornoi Degree College

Convenor
Feedback cell, IQAC,
Deomornoi Degree College

Convenor
Feedback Cell
IQAC, Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator
IQAC,
Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator, IQAC
Deomornoi Degree College
Date .....

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Feedback of Students about Teachers

Session: 2023-2024

Department of Political Science

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
1. **Command over subject**	Makibul Hussain	Pol Science	10	6	2		
	Dr Mousumi Deka	„	10	6	2		
	Mainul Haque	„	8	7	3		
	Diganta Sarma	„	9	5	2	1	1

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
2. Methodology and presentation	Makibul Hussain	Pol Science	5	11	2		
	Dr Mousumi Deka	„	5	11	2		
	Mainul Haque	„	7	8	3		
	Diganta Sarma	„	7	6	3		2

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
3. Communication skill	Makibul Hussain	Pol Science	8	6	4		
	Dr Mousumi Deka	„	8	6	4		
	Mainul Haque	„	8	7	3		
	Diganta Sarma	„	5	8	3		2

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
4. Interaction with the students	Makibul Hussain	Pol Science	10	3	5		
	Dr Mousumi Deka	„	10	5	3		
	Mainul Haque	„	7	7	4		
	Diganta Sarma	„	5	6	5	2	

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
5. Regularity in taking classes	Makibul Hussain	Pol Science	6	9	3		
	Dr Mousumi Deka	„	6	9	3		
	Mainul Haque	„	3	11	4		
	Diganta Sarma	„	4	6	6		2

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
6. Impartiality in evaluation	Makibul Hussain	Pol Science	8	6	4		
	Dr Mousumi Deka	„	7	4	5	2	
	Mainul Haque	„	3	9	5	1	
	Diganta Sarma	„	2	10	4	2	

Parameters'	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
7. Completion of the course in time	Makibul Hussain	Pol Science	8	7	3		
	Dr Mousumi Deka	„	8	7	3		
	Mainul Haque	„	8	5	5		
	Diganta Sarma	„	5	8	3		2

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
8. Accessibility outside the classroom	Makibul Hussain	Pol Science	10	6	2		
	Dr Mousumi Deka	„	10	6	2		
	Mainul Haque	„	8	8	2		
	Diganta Sarma	„	5	8	2	3	

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very *good*	Good	Average	Poor
9. Personality of the teacher	Makibul Hussain	Pol Science	9	7	2		
	Dr Mousumi Deka	„	9	7	2		
	Mainul Haque	„	9	7	2		
	Diganta Sarma	„	4	8	6		

Parameters	Name of the Teachers	Department	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
10:Preparation for taking classes	Makibul Hussain	Pol science	6	8	4		
	Dr Mousumi Deka	„	6	8	4		
	Mainul Haque	„	8	4	6		
	Diganta Srama	„	5	5	6	1	1

Graphic Presentation of Parameters against Teacher








Interaclion with the students
12
10
8
6
4
2
0
Excellent
Very good
Good
Average
Poor
1. Interaclion with the students Makibul Hussain Pol Science
1. Interaclion with the students Dr Mousumi Deka ,,
1. Interaclion with the students Mainul Haque ,,
1. Interaclion with the students Diganta Sarma ,,


Regularity in taking classes
12
10
8
6
4
2
0
Excellent
Very good
Good
Average
Poor
1. Regularity in taking classes Makibul Hussain Pol Science
1. Regularity in taking classes Dr Mousumi Deka ,,
1. Regularity in taking classes Mainul Haque ,,
1. Regularity in taking classes Diganta Sarma ,,


Impartiality in evaluation
12
10
8
6
4
2
0
Excellent
Very good
Good
Average
Poor
1. Impartiality in evaluation Makibul Hussain Pol Science
1. Impartiality in evaluation Dr Mousumi Deka ,,
1. Impartiality in evaluation Mainul Haque ,,
1. Impartiality in evaluation Diganta Sarma ,,

Completion of the course in time



Accessibility outside the classroom



Personality of the teacher





HoD Mousumi Deka
Department of Political Science
Deomornoi Degree College

H.O.D
Political Science Department
Deomornoi Degree College

Convenor
Feedback cell, IQAC,
Deomornoi Degree College

Convenor
Feedback Cell
IQAC, Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator
IQAC,
Deomornoi Degree College

Coordinator, IQAC
Deomornoi Degree College
Date .....

Office of the Principal

দেওমৰনৈ স্নাতক মহাবিদ্যালয়

DEOMORNOI DEGREE COLLEGE

P.O.:Deomornoi, Dist.: Darrang (Assam), Pin: 784147

E-mail:deomornoidegreecollege93@gmail.com

Estd. 1993

Ref No. .....

Date.....

From : Dr. Gitali Kalita, M.A. Ph.D., Principal
Ph No. 9854605531, 7002190998

Action Taken Report on Feedback

The syllabus and its transactions are vital aspects for curriculum and course of study. Feedback on syllabus and its transaction was sought from both the teachers and students using questionnaire with respect to eight(8) different parameter. Mixed responses were received from them. Their feedbacks and suggestions were analyzed and a few crucial points have been detected. The College authority discussed them in detail and the following action has been initiated.

Sl. No	Important feedback	Action Taken
1	35% of the teachers and 34.61% of the student are of the opinion that the contact hours stated in syllabus is not sufficient for the completion of course in time.	Since the contact hours allotted for completion of course mentioned in the syllabus is demined by the affiliated university, it has been decided by the college authority to raise this issue with the concerned university
2	50% of the respondent teachers and 25.38% of the students are of the view that sufficient number reference books and materials are not available in the library.	The college authority discussed this matter seriously and accordingly some reference books have been purchased for the library
3	20% of the responded teachers and 26% students are of the opinion that the current syllabus needs to be reviewed.	The responsibility of syllabus preparation for the affiliated college lies on the concerned university. It has been decided to draw attention of the university regarding this matter.

31/12/24

(Dr. Gitali Kalita)
Principal
Deomornoi Degree College

Principal
Deomornoi Degree College
Darrang